UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,911	06/08/2005	Yasue Nakamura	050370	6716
	7590 09/03/200 'TOS & HANSON, LL	EXAMINER		
1420 K Street, N.W. Suite 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			DOAN, ROBYN KIEU	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/537,911	NAKAMURA, YASUE			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Robyn Doan	3732			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 M</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 08 June 2005 is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to the	wn from consideration. r election requirement. r. p⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to	•			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/8/05; 8/23/05.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	nte			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

The restriction requirement of different species as set forth in the Office action mailed on 4/7/2008 has been reconsidered and is withdrawn herewith.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5-7, 17, 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 4-108004 (IDS cited reference).

JP '004 discloses a hair curler (figs. 1-4) comprising the essential claimed invention such as having a heating heater (1) fitted in an opening hole (fig. 3) at a support plate (2), interposed between terminals inside of a cap (6), heat conductors fixed to terminals (see fig. 1).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP '004 in view of JP 4-103803 (IDS cited reference).

JP '004 discloses the essential claimed invention except for a portion of the heat conductor in contact with the heater is formed into a projecting surface. JP '803 discloses a hair curler having a heat conductor in contact with the heater is formed into a projecting surface (fig. 1). It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the projecting surface as taught by JP 803 into the hair curler of JP '004 for the intended use purpose.

Claims 8-10 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP '00 in view of JP 9-23920 (IDS cited reference).

JP '004 discloses the essential claimed invention except for the rod made of heat resistant plastic formed in an arcuate shape and the diameter at the center being smaller than those at both ends. JP '920 discloses a hair curler having a rod with a diameter at the center being smaller than those at both ends (see fig. 7). It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the particular rod as taught by JP '920 into the hair curler of JP '004 for the intended use purpose. And it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the rod formed in an arcuate shape, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the shape of the non critical (specification page 4, lines 2-5) component. A change in shape is generally recognized as being within the level or ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Claims 11,12 and 25,26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP '00 in view of JP 3045250 (IDS cited reference).

JP '004 discloses the essential claimed invention except for the heat resistant plastic material having a far infrared radioactive substance. JP 3045250 discloses a hair curler having a far infrared radioactive substance. It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the far infrared substance as taught by JP '250 into the hair curler of JP '004 in order to avoid damage to the hair.

Claims 13-16 and 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP '00 in view of JP 2798374 (IDS cited reference).

JP '004 discloses the essential claimed invention except for a plurality of curlers disconnectably connected to a cord extending from a distributor which comprises a controller, a base mount and a container. JP '374 discloses a curler disconnectably connected to a cord extending from a distributor (see figs 1, 4). It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the distributor with a cord that is disconnectably with the curler as taught by JP '374 into the device of '004 in order to conveniently curl the hair.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Bean, Pountain, Foley, Blymer and Shelton are cited to show the state of the art with respect to a plurality of curler connected to a distributor.

Application/Control Number: 10/537,911 Page 5

Art Unit: 3732

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robyn Doan whose telephone number is (571) 272-4711. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cris Rodriguez can be reached on (571) 272-4964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Robyn Doan/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3732